ISSN 2394 - 7780

In

100

-

A D H D C

-

......

0 1.10

-

-

a

-

-

1

1

-0

D:

-

-

H

Ŧ

-

Fi

-

1112 -

-

0

í

Volume 6, Issue 1 (XVII): January - March, 2019 : Part - 6

WILLINGNESS TO RECYCLE AS A WASTE DISPOSAL METHOD IN SELECT AREAS OF SOUTH GOA

Charmaine D'souza

Associate Professor, Department of Commerce, Rosary College of Commerce and Arts, Salcete, Goa

ABSTRACT

Re-purposing household trash is a good way to reduce waste. Recycling is the third component of reduce, reuse and recycle waste hierarchy. Material-recovery sorting takes the concept of recycling to a whole new level, wherein much of it is recovered for reprocessing. In the rural area of south Goa the characteristics of domestic waste which includes specifically the recycling aspect willingness, werestudied by questionnaire. Only a few people consider this as an industry like recycling and reusing, and use the benefits. Recycling needs minimal energy for complete processing and utilization and the end product is a renewable source of energy which is eco-friendly. A survey was conducted covering 200 respondents in Salcete taluka, the places selected were Fartoda, Colva, Navelim, Cuncolim and Chinchinim, to analyse whether the respondents responsibly take care of waste generated at their homes by either recycling or reusing or both. The respondents of each locality were asked if they are interested inwillingness to recycle, willingness to separate materials, willingness to pay for recycling pick up, willingness to return plastic bottles, and willingness to pay extramoney to purchase recyclable products according to their education level. The hypotheses formulated for the study was to test whether there is a significant difference of respondents' responses towards the willingness to recycle waste. Annova and Least Significant Difference (LSD) including percentage analysis, tabulation tools was also used.

Keywords: reuse, waste material, waste disposal, willingness to recycle

INTRODUCTION

Recovery or recycling of resources is the process of taking useful but discarded items for next use and processing and cleaning them before they are recycled. It would reduce energy loss, consuming new materialsand reducing landfills[1]. Contributing to Swachh Bharat Abhiyan in making a small difference towards waste disposal is to adopt the 3 R's - Reduce Reuse and Recycle. If waste generation is not reduced the landfills that are loaded in India with waste will increase from 62 million tonnes to 165 million tones [2]. 'Dumping' of waste is not the solution as is used as an attempt of reducing waste generation Prof.SudhakarYadla(Indira Gandhi Institute of Development Research, Mumbai,) and Ms. N.T. Sindhu(Cochin University of Technology, Kochi,) have made an assessment of the alternative ways of disposing waste[3]. Along with organic wastes other products like grasses or vegetable remains if added in the right proportions form vermicompost, which is excellent manure. This is one of the best examples of waste management recycling [4]. The most common recyclable items are paper, plastic, glass and aluminium. Recycling bins are available for office, public or home use, to collect recyclables before they are taken to recycling centres [5].Many non-hazardous industrial wastes such as paper, glass, cardboard, plastics and scrap metals can be recycled [6].

THEORETICAL PERSPECTIVE

Recycling old materials like, bags, reusing second hand items, repairing broken items, avoiding disposable products like plastic bags, jars, and purchasing items that use less designing would reduce waste. Recycling is used around the world, with plastic, paper and metal leading the list of the most items recyclable [7]. Recycling organic matter forms mulch or compost for landscaping and agricultural purposes and the waste gas, collected from the process, is used for electricity production. Biological reprocessing controls and speeds up the natural decomposition of organic matter[8]. Products such as LDEP, PVC, PS, and PP are recyclable however, there are complex products that are not that easy to recycle and because of the complexity of recycling biological reprocessing exists. This a is useful for waste materials that are organic in nature where the waste materials are put in biological decomposition and then later recycled to form composts for agricultural purposes[9]. Sewage sludge which is also called bio solids is not generally considered solid waste, but it is sometimes composted with organic municipal solid waste [10]. Materials likealuminium, copper, steel, plastics are high in demand for industrial production, which calls for making a sorting of waste for recycling viable[11].Communities could participate in waste inventory know the types and volume of hazardous, household and recyclable wastes generated and the need segregation, waste reduction and recycling required. The process of local waste disposal could also be explored to inform waste practices and the initiation of a composing way to promote waste reduction which could be implemented [12]. No matter where you're shopping, carry own reusable cloth bags instead of accepting plastic bags from the store [13].

ISSN 2394 - 7780

Volume 6, Issue 1 (XVII): January - March, 2019 : Part - 6

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Waste management has become a major concern and has significant implications for the health of residents, municipal staff, industrial workers and overall urban investment climate. (Raghav Chandra) It is estimated that residential waste accounts for 45% of the municipal waste stream(Mathew, 1999). Waste collection strategies can significantly affect recycling targets (Passarini et al., 2011). Recycling of waste is a new concept for rural residents, but it has been readily adopted without too much need for raising awareness (Chung and Poon, 2001). Theoretical orientations can be identified in applied behavioural analysis literature which deals with recycling (Mannetti, Pierro, &Livi, 2004). Literature focuses on specific attitudes towards recycling (e.g., Ebreo, Hershey, & Vining, 1999). To summarize key findings of the behavioural literature on household recyclables (glass, metals, paper, and plastics) Oskamp et al. (1998) and Guerin, Crete, and Mercier (2001) find small but significant statistical relationships between environmental concerns and recycling. Contributors to this research includeSGuagnano, Oskamp (1995), Stern, and Dietz (1995), Corral-Verdugo (1997), Taylor and Todd (1995), Cheung, Chan and Wong (1999), Werner and Makela (1998), and McCarty and Shrum (2001). Convenience, and costs, significantly impact recycling behaviour (Jenkins et al., 2003). This also includes the nearness of recycling containers (Ludwig, Gray, & Rowell, 1998; Margai, 1997), available storage space and the difficulty of recycling some materials (Sterner & Bartelings 1999). Jakus, Tiller, and Park (1997) for rural households stressed time commitment in recycling participation. Schultz, Oskamp, and Mainieri (1995) report that women appear more likely to recycle. More education contributes to recycling (Owens, Dickerson, & Macintosh, 2000.McQuaid and Murdoch (1996) find some weak evidence that household size is positively associated with recycling behaviour.Scott, (1999) studied the association between recycling behaviours and differences of gender, age, education level, family income, or political ideology.

OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY

To find out the willingness to recycle, willingness to separate materials, willingness to pay for recycling pick up, willingness to return plastic bottles, willingness to pay extra.

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

It would be worthwhile to know domestic waste management behaviour, current situation of the willingness, collection, and transfer, residents' mode of domestic waste collection and treatment and disposal of domestic waste.

HYPOTHESES

The below mentioned hypotheses is formulated for the study:

Ha1: There is a significant difference of respondents' responses towards the willingness to recycle waste

SAMPLE SIZE

For collection of primary data asurvey was conducted covering 200 respondents in Salcetetaluka using a structure questionnaire, the places selected were Colva, Fartoda, Navelim, Cuncolim and Chinchinim, to analysis whether the respondents responsibly take care of waste generated at their homes by either recycling or reusing or both. The respondents were also evaluated for their concern for the environment and mode of domestic waste collection and treatment

STATISTICAL TOOL

The statistical tool used in data analysis and interpretation were Anova and Least Significant Difference (LSD) including percentage analysis, tabulation tools was also used.

LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY

Only South-Goa respondents were taken for the study. The sample is comparatively small in size. There was difficulty of accessibility of the householdmembers. The survey did not obtain the determined sample size, due to the fact that some urban dwellers refused to participate in the survey.

		Greatly interested	Yes	No opinion	no	Not interested
Willingness to recycle	Below SSC	2	20	22	18	8
	SCC	4	28	6	18	6
	HSCC	8	24	4	6	4
	graduate	6	10	2	4	0
Willingness to seperate materials	Below SSC	2	56	2	8	2
	SCC	8	34	4	16	0
	HSCC	2	40	0	4	0

Cable-1.1:	The	willing	ness	to	recy	cle

HARMAIN

Volume 6, Issue 1 (XVII): January - March, 2019 : Part - 6

ISSN 2394 - 7780

	graduate	6	16	0	0	0
Willingness to pay for recycling pick up	Below SSC	2	42	12	12	2
	SCC	0	48	4	10	0
	HSCC	6	34	0	6	0
	graduate	6	12	0	0	4
Willingness to return plastic bottles	Below SSC	0	42	10	16	2
	SCC	2	46	2	12	0
	HSCC	6	28	2	10	0
	graduate	6	16	0	0	0
Willingness to pay extra	Below SSC	2	22	8	32	6
	SCC	4	28	0	30	0
	HSCC	4	28	2	10	2
	oraduate	6	10	2	Λ	0

ANOVA

Source of Variation	SS	df	MS	F	P-value	F crit
Between Groups	10122.8	4	2530.7	42.05144	2.98E-20	2.467494
Within Groups	5717.2	95	60.18105			
Total	15840	99				

LSD				
column	column	Mean Difference (I-J)	Sig.	
1	2	-49.20000*	0	there is significant difference
	3	-0.4	0.943	there is no significant difference
	4	-13.80000*	0.02	there is significant difference
	5	5.4	0.336	there is no significant difference
2	3	48.80000*	0	there is significant difference
a	4	35.40000*	0	there is significant difference
	5	54.60000*	0	there is significant difference
3	4	-13.40000*	0.024	there is significant difference
1	5	5.8	0.303	there is no significant difference
4	5	19.20000*	0.002	there is significant difference

* The mean difference is significant at the 0.05 level.

H1: there is a significant difference in willingness to recycle

The respondents of each locality were asked their willingness to participate in recycling waste, when they were asked their willingness to recycling their response were 39% said yes, 24% said no, 18% said no opinion, 12% said that they are greatly interested and only 7% said that they are not interested, when asked about willing to separate material for collection their respond 72% said yes, 14% said no, 10% said greatly interested, 3% said no opinion, 1% said not interested, when it came willingness to pay for pickup for recycling materials 67% said yes, 14% said no, 9% said no opinion, 7% said that they are greatly interested and only 3% said that they are greatly interested, as for willingness to return plastic bottles to stores their response were 65% said yes, 19% said no, 9% said no opinion, 6% said that they are greatly interested and only 1% said that they are not interested, when asked about Would you be ready to pay extra money to purchase recyclable products their response were 45% said yes, 40% said no, 5% said no opinion, 7% said that they are greatly interested and only 3% said that they are not interested. Overall 41% said yes, 16% said no, 6% said no opinion, 6% said greatly interested.

CONCLUSION

Now many companies are looking forward to associate themselves with this industry and are ready for a long term investment. As the population continues to grow, it is expected that by the year 2047, the waste generated would be at least 5 times the current numbers. Recycling involves the reprocessing of wastes, either into the same product or a different one. Materials put into a recycling bin get a new purpose after being processed and turned into new or similar products. Thefollowing factors can be considered to promote recycling activities -A waste management system can be properly planned, infrastructure including collection, transportation, treatment, and disposal of waste could be enhanced and improved, the public and communities could practice in



Volume 6, Issue 1 (XVII): January - March, 2019 : Part - 6

recycling activities, Subsidies or tax privileges can be provided to recyclers or recycling companies, environmental education could be enhanced so members of the public learn how and why to separate waste.Classified collection plays an important role in domestic waste management so, it should be promoted widely on a household and village basis. Domestic waste mixed is suitable for treatment and disposal in simplified landfills or the existing landfills, while the organic waste can be composted or fermented to produce energy and fertilizer. Instead of throwing plastic bottles use them to decorate the house and make other DIY showpieces. Items that can be refilled like printer cartridges or glue, and reuse plastic folders could be used. Use old wood and pallets to build compost bins. Reuse toiletries like shampoo bottles as cell charging stations, old toothbrushes as cleaning tools.Old clothes and scraps of fabric can be donated to a fabric recycling facility.Schools often accept donations of old computers and other electronics. Contact with a, thrift store, or donation centre to see about donating furniture, electronics, cars, and any other items finished using.

REFERENCES

- www.conserve-energy-future.com/sources-effects-methods-of-solid-waste-management.php
- www.ndtv.com/photos/news/waste-management-5-simple-ways-to-reduce-waste-at-home-24337#photo-299054
- [3] researchmatters.in/article/tackling-domestic-waste-right-way
- [4] content.wisestep.com/advantages-disadvantages-waste-management/
- www.hunker.com/13420834/different-methods-of-waste-disposal
- www.cips.org/Documents/About%20CIPS/Develop%20Waste%20v3%20-%2020.11.07.pdf
- www.conserve-energy-future.com/waste-management-and-waste-disposal-methods.php
- www.wrfound.org.uk/
- www.gastem.ca/waste-management-methods/
- [10] www.encyclopedia.com/history/united-states-and-canada/us-history/waste-disposal
- sciencing.com/methods-domestic-waste-disposal-7406303.html
- www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3091372/
- [13] www.wikihow.life/Manage-Your-Home-Waste
- [14] Chandra, Raghav Solid Waste Management: Need, innovations and strategies [A case Study of Bhopal Municipal Corporation (BMC)].
- **[15]** Cheung, S., Chan, D., & Wong, Z. (1999). Reexamining the theory of planned behavior in understanding wastepaper recycling. *Environment and Behavior*, 31(5), 587-612.
- Chung, S.S., and C.S. Poon. 2001. A comparison of waste-reduction practices and new environmental paradigm of rural and urban Chinese citizens. *J. Environ. Manage*. 62(1):3–19. doi:10.1006/jema.2000.0408
- **Corral-Verdugo**, V. (1997). Dual realities of conservation behavior: Self-reports vs. observations of reuse and recycling behavior. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 17, 135-145.
- **[18]** Ebreo, A., Hershey, J., & Vining, L. (1999). Reducing solid waste: Linking recycling to environmentally responsible consumerism. *Environment and Behavior*, 31(1), 107-135.
- **Guagnano**, G., Stern, P., & Dietz, T. (1995). Influences on the attitude-behavior relationships: A natural experiment with curbside recycling. *Environment and Behavior*, 27(5), 699-718.
- **Guerin**, D., Crete, J., & Mercier, J. (2001). A multilevel analysis of the determinants of recycling behavior in the European Countries. *Social Science Research*, 30, 195-218.
- **Jakus**, P.M., Tiller, K.H., & Park, W.M. (1997). Explaining rural household participation in recycling. *Journal of Agricultural and Resource Economics*, 29(1), 141-148.
- **Ludwig**, T., Gray, T., & Rowell, A. (1998). Increasing recycling in academic buildings: A systematic replication. *Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis*, 31(4), 683-686.
- [23] Mannetti, L., Pierro, A., &Livi, S. (2004). Recycling: Planned and self-expressive behavior. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 24, 227-236.

a, 12% ling to % said s 67% at they s, 19% are not ts their red and % said

v were

80

4

r a long enerated into the ssed and rities -A ortation, actice in

13

Volume 6, Issue 1 (XVII): January - March, 2019 : Part - 6

- [24] Margai, F. (1997). Analyzing changes in waste reduction behavior in a low-income urban community following a public outreach program. *Environment and Behavior*, 29(6), 769-792.
- [25] Mathew, Varkey, Solid waste management in Kottayam Town, Kerala research program on local level development. Project No.195 / 99.
- [26] McCarty, J., & Shrum, L. (2001). The influence of individualism, collectivism, and locus of control on environmental beliefs and behavior. *Journal of Public Policy & Marketing*, 20(1), 93-104.
- [27] Mc Quaid, R.W., & Murdoch, A.R. (1996). Recycling policy in areas of low income and multi-storey housing. *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management*, 39(4), 545-562.
- [28] Oskamp, S. (1995). Resource conservation and recycling: Behavior and policy. Journal of Social Issues, 51(4), 157-173.
- [29] Oskamp, S., Burkhardt, R., Schultz, P.W., Hurin, S., &Zelezny, L. (1998). Predicting three dimensions of residential curbside recycling: An observational study. *Journal of Environmental Education*, 29(2), 37-42.
- [30] Owens, J., Dickerson, S., & Macintosh, D. (2000). Demographic covariates of residential recycling efficiency. *Environment and Behavior*, 32(5), 637-650.
- [31] Passarini, F., I. Vassura, F. Monti, et al. 2011. Indicators of waste management efficiency related to different territorial conditions. *Waste Manage*. 31(4):785–92.
- [32] Schultz, P.W., Oskamp, S., & Mainieri, T. (1995). Who recycles and when? A review of personal and situational factors. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 15, 105-121.
- [33] Scott, D. (1999). Equal opportunity, unequal results: Determinants of household recycling intensity. *Environment and Behavior*, 31(2), 267-290.
- [34] Sterner, T., & Bartelings, H. (1999). Household waste management in a Swedish municipality: Determinants of waste disposal, recycling and composting. *Environmental and Resource Economics*, 13, 473-491.
- [35] Taylor, S., & Todd, P. (1995). An integrated model of waste management behavior: A test of household recycling and composting intentions. *Environment and Behavior*, 27, 603-630.
- [36] Werner, C., & Makela, E. (1998). Motivations and behaviors that support recycling. Journal of Environmental Psychology, 18(4), 373-386.

14

Int.

No.

3

1

11 ----

Diller

merz

af 33

The Tax

all south

Wienn

14

Wall in

the s

(Transmitter)

......

The sector

Torre.

The set

and a

The state

THE REAL PROPERTY.

----The s The I -----•] . the same same 1 - -The Press The area ----malie -----* * THE S -SES ITCHT No. 1 The second second

ISSN 2394 - 7780